

OIM Taxonomy

Johan Walters

SureSync

OIM Taxonomy

*What changes from XBRL 2.1 — and
what does it mean for you?*

The information contained in this presentation represents the opinions of the speaker and may not represent the views of XBRL International, the Board of XBRL International or the consensus opinions of the XBRL Standards Board or Best Practices Board. Nothing in this presentation should be taken to be investment advice and all data representations are merely indicative. The reader should note that only XBRL Specifications that have reached Recommendation status are considered final and suitable for use in software and mission critical systems and must then be used in line with the XBRL International License Agreement. XBRL®, Inline XBRL™, Table Linkbase™ and the XBRL mark are Registered Trademarks or Trademarks of XBRL International Inc in the European Union, United States, China, Japan, India and internationally and may not be used without the permission of XBRL International, Inc including through its Trademark policies and agreements.



The situation

- XBRL 2.1 is from **2003** — 23 years old
 - It works. Everybody uses it. NTA, EBA, ESEF, IFRS...
 - But: built on XSD + XLink + XML — technologies that the world has moved away from

 - The **OIM** (Open Information Model) separates the data model from the syntax
 - OIM Taxonomy Model 1.0 = the next-generation way to define taxonomies

 - Easy to consume (human + AI)
 - Better representation of semantic model
 - Harmonization of standards
 - Fit for granular data: events, transactions, time series, ...

 - Status: **draft specification**, active working group
-

What doesn't change

- Facts are still: concept + dimensions + value
 - Taxonomies still define: concepts, dimensions, hierarchies, labels, calculations
 - Reports still carry: structured financial data
 - The meaning of existing taxonomies is preserved
-
- **If you file reports → your reports still make sense**
 - **If you build software → your data model doesn't break**



What changes

XBRL 2.1	OIM Taxonomy
XSD element declarations	Concept objects (JSON/XML)
XLink extended links + arcs	Network objects with relationships
Extended Link Roles (ELRs)	Groups
Hypercube + DRS networks	Cubes
Label resources + arcs	Label objects
Multiple XML files per linkbase	One model, one file

Concepts — from XSD to objects

XBRL 2.1:

```
<xs:element name="Revenue"  
  type="xbrli:monetaryItemType"  
  substitutionGroup="xbrli:item"  
  xbrli:periodType="duration"  
  nillable="true"/>
```

OIM Taxonomy:

```
{  
  "name": "exp:Revenue",  
  "dataType": "xbrlr:monetary",  
  "periodType": "duration",  
  "nillable": true  
}
```

Same concept. No XSD knowledge required.

Labels — direct association

XBRL 2.1:

concept \leftarrow [locator] \rightarrow labelArc \leftarrow [role] \rightarrow label resource
(in an ELR) (e.g. terseLabel)

4 objects, 3 links, scoped by ELR, role URI, language.

OIM Taxonomy:

```
{  
  "relatedName": "exp:Revenue",  
  "labelType": "xbrl:terseLabel",  
  "language": "nl",  
  "value": "Omzet"  
}
```

1 object. Directly attached to the concept by QName.

ELRs → Groups

XBRL 2.1: Extended Link Roles

- URI-based: <http://www.example.com/role/BalanceSheet>
- Partitions linkbases into independent sections
- Contains: presentation trees, calculation trees, label arcs, definition arcs

OIM: Groups + GroupContent

```
{ "name": "exp:BalanceSheet", "groupURI": "http://..." }  
{ "groupName": "exp:BalanceSheet",  
  "relatedNames": ["exp:BSPresentation", "exp:BSCalculation",  
                  "exp:BalanceSheetCube"] }
```

Group = named chapter. GroupContent = links it to networks and cubes.

Networks — replacing linkbases

XBRL 2.1: parent-child arcs in a presentation linkbase, within an ELR

OIM: a named network object:

```
{  
  "name": "exp:BSPresentation",  
  "relationshipTypeName": "xbrl:parent-child",  
  "roots": ["exp:StatementOfFinancialPosition"],  
  "relationships": [  
    { "source": "exp:StatementOfFinancialPosition",  
      "target": "exp:Assets", "order": 1 },  
    { "source": "exp:StatementOfFinancialPosition",  
      "target": "exp:Equity", "order": 2 }  
  ]  
}
```

Self-contained. Named. Reusable by QName.

Hypercubes → Cubes

XBRL 2.1: Hypercubes

ELR → [all arc] → hypercube → [hypercube-dimension arc] → dimension
→ [domain-member arc] → member → member → member...

Assembled from arcs across multiple linkbases. The "cube" is implicit.

OIM: Cube objects

```
{  
  "name": "exp:BalanceSheetCube",  
  "cubeType": "xbrl:reportCube",  
  "cubeDimensions": [  
    { "dimension": "xbrl:concept",  
      "domain": "exp:BalanceSheetDomain" },  
    { "dimension": "xbrl:period",  
      "periodConstraints": [{ "periodType": "instant" }] },  
    { "dimension": "xbrl:entity" },  
    { "dimension": "xbrl:unit" }  
  ]  
}
```

The cube IS the definition. Not derived — declared.

Domains and reusability

XBRL 2.1: domain-member networks per ELR. Reuse = reference same elements.

OIM: Named domain objects referenced by QName.

```
{  
  "name": "exp:GeographyDomain",  
  "root": "exp:geographyClass",  
  "relationships": [  
    { "source": "exp:geographyClass", "target": "exp:Netherlands" },  
    { "source": "exp:geographyClass", "target": "exp:Germany" }  
  ]  
}
```

Used in Cube A: { "dimension": "exp:Geo", "domain": "exp:GeographyDomain" }

Used in Cube B: { "dimension": "exp:Geo", "domain": "exp:GeographyDomain" }

Extended by importer: add "exp:Belgium" via extendTargetName

What this means for you

- **If you file reports (iXBRL, ESEF):**
 - Your filing format doesn't change (yet)
 - The concepts you tag stay the same
 - Future taxonomies will be easier to navigate
 - **If you build taxonomies (NTA, KvK, DNB):**
 - Simpler authoring — JSON instead of XSD+XLink
 - Better modularity — import/extend by QName
 - Explicit cube definitions — validation rules are readable
 - **If you build software:**
 - Simpler parsers — one JSON file, no arc traversal
 - Format-agnostic — same model in JSON or XML
 - DTS resolution becomes module import
 - **If you care about ESEF/EFrag:**
 - ESRS taxonomies could benefit from the new model
 - Same dimensional model, better tooling
-

Timeline and status

- **Where are we?**
 - OIM Taxonomy Model 1.0: draft specification
 - Will be shared publicly soon for gathering feedback
 - Conformance tests exist
 - Some sections (layout, formula) still under design
 - XBRL 2.1 is not going away — coexistence, not replacement

 - **What to watch:**
 - xbrl.org Working Group publications
 - 2.1-to-OIM conversion tooling, OIM viewer tooling
 - Adoption roadmap from regulators (SEC, ESMA, local authorities)
-

Questions?
